Mr. Narayanan Madhavan Karthavu (*Shertallay*) requested that the civil jurisdiction of Aroor proverty might be transferred from the Parur District Court and Vaikam Munsiff's Court to the Alleppey District Court and Shertallay Munsiff's Court respectively.

Mr. Ousep Kunjavirah Tharakan (*Vaikam*) suggested that it would be desirable to re-transfer the civil jurisdiction of the Vaikam taluk to the Alleppey Zillah Court from that of Parur and to shift the Parur Zillah Court to Alwaye.

Mr. R. Narayana Pillai (*Ettumanur*) prayed for the re-transfer of the civil jurisdiction of the Kaduthuruthy and Manjoor proverties of the Ettumanur taluk from the Parur to the Alleppey District Court.

Reply.—The High Court are considering a general scheme of re-distribution of territorial jurisdiction. The representations now made will be brought to their notice.

409. Opening of a sub-registrar's Office at Thuravur.

Mr. Narayanan Madhavan Karthavu suggested the desirability of opening a new sub-registrar's office at Thuravur in the Shertallay taluk.

Reply.—There is a sub-registrar's office at Shertallay, which is only 6 miles from Thuravur. The suggestion will, however, be considered.

410. Opening of a canal and a road from Arukutty to Alleppey.

Mr. Narayanan Madhavan Karthavu represented that this subject was brought up last year when the Government promised favourable consideration. He said that he pressed the subject once again upon their attention, as nothing had been hitherto done.

Reply.—The question has been considered in all its aspects. A road will cost Rs. 15,000 a mile, a canal Rs. 14,000 and a light railway Rs. 22,000 a mile. The cost in any case is excessive. However, the subject of connecting Shertallay with Alleppey by means of a canal will be kept in view. The proposal to connect Shertallay with Arukutty is impracticable.

411. Construction of bridges in Kuthiathode and Kattachira.

Mr. Narayanan Madhavan Karthavu brought up this subject for the early consideration of Government.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Reply.—The Kuthiathode bridge is being constructed by the Public Works Department to which the work was transferred this year. The question of Kattachira bridge will be considered along with the opening of a road from Thanneermukkam to Shertallay, which is included in the general programme of works for the Kottayam Division.

412. Restoration of the Pattanakat temple.

Mr. N. Narayanan Karthavu (Shertallay) represented that the Pattanakat Siva temple was at present in utter disrepair.

Reply.—The work will be taken up when funds become available.

413. Restoration of the Thuravur temple or placing it under the Ooranmakars.

Mr. N. Narayanan Karthavu represented that the Thuravur temple which was managed by a body of Ooralers was taken over by Government in 1075 after which it had never been properly repaired. He requested that the temple might be restored at an early date or placed as before under the Ooranmakars.

Reply.—The temple will be repaired when funds permit. It cannot be again placed under the management of the Ooranmakars.

414. Opening of a canal from Pallipurom backwater to the sea via Ponnampalli market.

Mr. N. Narayanan Karthavu brought forward this subject.

Reply.—The opening of this canal is not deemed necessary.

415. Provision of suitable buildings for the English School and the Vernacular Girls' School.

Mr. Narasimha Kammathi of Shertallay (Nominated) brought forward this subject.

Reply.—The matter is receiving attention. The selection of a site is under correspondence between the Inspector of Schools and the Peishkar.

416. Provision of a Satrom at Shertallay.

Mr. Narasimha Kammathi requested that a new satrom might be constructed at Shertallay for the convenience of travellers.

Reply.—An estimate has been sanctioned for improving the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly